

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 11-7145**

**September Term 2011**

**1:11-cv-01826-UNA**

**Filed On: May 4, 2012**

Danny Joe Hirschfield,

Appellant

v.

State of California and California Department  
of Mental Health,

Appellees

## **ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Henderson and Rogers, Circuit Judges

### **J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed October 17, 2011, be affirmed. The district court properly concluded that appellant's claims for money damages against the State of California and a state agency are barred by the Eleventh Amendment. See Edelman v. Jordan, 415 U.S. 651, 662-63 (1974); Ali v. District of Columbia, 278 F.3d 1, 6 (D.C. Cir. 2002).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**