

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 00-7024

September Term, 1999

00cv00092

Filed On: July 13, 2000 [529407]

Dorothy N. Wynn,
Appellant

v.

Jacob Sharp and Loneman School,
Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Edwards, Chief Judge; Ginsburg and Randolph, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the appellant's brief. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. See Fed. R. App. P. 36; D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed January 18, 2000, be affirmed substantially for the reasons stated in the district court's decision. The district court correctly determined that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the complaint. See St. Paul Mercury Indemnity Co. v. Red Cab Co., 303 U.S. 283, 289 (1938) (federal court jurisdiction must be based on good faith allegation that amount of damages in controversy meets jurisdictional limit); 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) (jurisdictional limit is "sum or value of \$75,000").

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after disposition of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam